## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3

1

2

4

5

BELLA DOCKS, et al.,

COMPANY, et al.,

v.

**BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB** 

Plaintiffs,

Defendants.

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

14 15

13

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28 Dated: 11/20/13

NO. C13-5109 TEH

ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO

Defendant Bristol-Myers Squibb Company has moved to stay all proceedings pending potential transfer of this case to Multidistrict Litigation ("MDL") Docket No. 2418, *In re:* Playix Marketing, Sales Practices and Products Liability Litigation (No. II), to which this

Court finds that a stay is in the interests of judicial economy, and it does not appear that a

case was conditionally transferred on November 19, 2013. Upon careful consideration, the

stay will cause prejudice to either party. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that

Defendant's motion to stay is GRANTED. See Landis v. N. Am. Co., 299 U.S. 248, 254-55 (1936) (courts have inherent power to control their own dockets, including the power to stay

proceedings in the interests of judicial economy); In re Apple iPhone Application Litig., Case

No. 10-CV-05878-LHK, 2011 WL 2149102, at \*2 (N.D. Cal. May 31, 2011) (factors courts

consider when weighing a stay include "(1) conserving judicial resources and avoiding

duplicative litigation; (2) hardship and inequity to the moving party if the action is not

stayed; and (3) potential prejudice to the non-moving party").

IT IS SO ORDERED.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT